



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/057,016 | 01/25/2002 | Theodore Turnasella | 54530-00002 | 3598 | |
| 7590 02/23/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Daniel P Burke | | | CHEN, TE Y | | |
| Galano & Burke | LLP | | | | |
| 300 Rabro Drive | e | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 135 | | | 2161 | <u> </u> | |
| Hauppauge, NY 11788 | | | DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Α | pplication No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 1 | 0/057,016 | TURNASELLA, T | HEODORE | | |
| | | E | xaminer | Art Unit | | | |
| | | s | usan Y. Chen | 2161 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appea | rs on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence a | ddress | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATI of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COMMUN). In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become A | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>07 Dece</i> | ember 2005. | | | | |
| · — | | | tion is non-final. | | | | |
| , | 7— | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practi | | • | • • | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the a | application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | tion and/or el | ection requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accept | ed or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | ction to the dra | wing(s) be held in abeya | ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction | is required if the drawing | g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Exam | iner. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form P | TO-152. | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| - | Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | for foreign pri | ority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents ha | ave been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority | documents ha | ave been received in A | Application No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | of the priority | documents have beer | n received in this National | l Stage | | |
| | application from the Internation | nal Bureau (P | CT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action | n for a list of t | he certified copies no | t received. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | _ | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | TO-048) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforr | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date | | | Informal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | |
| - • | | | · — · — | ···= | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/057,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

Response To Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/07/2005.

Claims 1-31 are pending for examination, claims 1, 12, and 20-30 have been amended, and claim 31 has been newly added.

Claim Objections

Claims 20, 28-30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claim 20, the word "aging" should be changed to "age".

As to claims 28-30, the phrase "system" should be changed to "A system", furthermore, the dependency of claim 29-30 seems incorrect, because their base claim 1 is a method claim. To expedite the instant application processing, the examiner will regard claims 29-30 as dependent from claim 20.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2161

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,741,993 issued to Zitaner et al. (hereinafter referred as '993) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,401,079 issued to Kahn et al. (hereinafter referred as '079)

As to claims 20, the '993 patent discloses a system for providing survey data from members of a survey group via an internet [e.g., Abstract, Fig. (s) 1-4], comprising:

- a) a database for storing salary data [e.g., the unit 10, Fig. 1];
- b) a server [e.g., the Reward Workbench (60), Fig. 1] providing access to the Internet, wherein the server configured to:

accepting salary data to the salary survey service [e.g., the 1st step of Fig. 3 wherein the row data elements including participant's and salary data at shown in Fig. 4];

storing the salary data received from the at least a portion of the participants in the database [e. g., the 4th step of Fig. 3];

grouping the plurality of participants into survey group by the portion of the plurality of participants [e.g., the 2nd step of Fig. 3];

generating a salary survey for participants of the survey group using the stored data provided by the participants of the survey group [e.g., col. 6,lines 23-33]

Application/Control Number: 10/057,016

Art Unit: 2161

providing access to the salary survey via the internet [e.g., Abstract, lines 8-10, the Data Network, Fig. 2].

The '993 patent did not specifically disclose aging salary data for at least a portion of stored salary data;

However, the '079 patent disclosed the claimed feature [e.g., the effective date, expiration date attributes of the Pay Scales table 435, Fig. 4].

The '993 and '079 patents are both in the same endeavor for efficiently survey salary information, thus, with the teachings of '993 and '079 in front of him/her, it would have been obvious for an ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to modify the salary information of '993 system with aging attributes as taught by '079 patent, because by doing so, the combined system will be upgraded to provide more details information for the pay scale survey and calculation.

As to claim 21, except all the features recited in claim 20, the combined system of '993 and '079 patents further discloses that the survey are group by at least one of the business organization or others [e.g., '993: col. 1, lines 14-22].

As to claims 22-25, except all the features recited in claim 20, the combined system of '993 and '079 patents further discloses that the members including

individuals, companies, trade associations, contributor and subscriber [e.g., '993: col. 1, lines 26-35].

As to claims 26-27, except all the features recited in claim 20, the combined system of '993 and '079 patents further discloses that the server is further configured to receive designations of the members to be included in the survey group and parameters to defining information to be presented by the survey [e.g., '993: the first three steps of Fig. 3; Figure 4 and associated texts].

As to claims 28-29, except all the features recited in claim 20, the combined system of '993 and '079 patents further discloses that the server is configured to provide access to the plurality members of the survey group [e.g., '993: the units 30, 32, 34, 36, Fig. 1].

As to claim 30, except all the features recited in claim 20, the combined system of '993 and '079 patents further discloses that the salary data is related to job positions [e.g., '993: the Job_code, Position fields, Fig. 4].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (continue)

As to claim 31, the combined method of '993 and '079 patents did not specifically disclose that the salary data is aged by an annual growth rate.

Art Unit: 2161

However, Official Notice is taken that aging salary data by an annual growth rate is well-known and expected in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill person in the art at the time the invention was made to include this well known features in the combined method of '993 and '079 patents, for the purpose of facilitate the calculation of annual wage.

As to claims 1-19, the steps in the claimed method are deemed to be made obvious by the functions of the apparatus structure of claims 20 - 30 in the combination discussed above, hence were rejected for the same reasons.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

To expedite the process of re-examination, the examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112) set forth by the Examiner prior to the office action, that applicant should provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,016 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,016 Page 8

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2161

February 15, 2006

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER